UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,303	01/26/2007	Hiromi Matsuzaki	P30093	5121
	7590 12/01/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		WOLF, MEGAN YARNALL	
RESTON, VA 2	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Office Action Communication		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			10/596,303	MATSUZAKI ET	MATSUZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
		ľ	MEGAN WOLF	3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will v will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) No suse the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>15 Octo</i>	ober 2008					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		ction is non-final.					
3)		<i>,</i> —		atters prosecution as to t	he merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disnositi	on of Claims		,					
•	Claim(s) 1-8,10-16 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · _ ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-16 and 19-21</u> is/are	rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а) 🗌 ассер	ted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>071508</u> .	PTO-948)	Paper I	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/596,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/08 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/08 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyle et al. 2002/0026242 in view of Kim et al. 5,645,596 (submitted in IDS).

Re claim 1, Boyle discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a bone replacement material to be used by being packed into a bone defective part, wherein the bone replacement material consists essentially of a calcium phosphate

based compound (see hydroxyapatite in par.40) and is formed into a pellet wherein the pellet has a roughly polyhedral shape and is defined by a plurality of surfaces including a pair of opposite, non-parallel surfaces, one of the opposite, non-parallel surfaces being inclined at a predetermined angle with respect to the other of the opposite, non-parallel surfaces (figs.8-12). However, Boyle does not disclose that the bone replacement material has a porosity is equal to or less than 75%.

Page 3

Kim teaches a vertebral prosthesis, in the same field of endeavor, wherein the porosity of the calcium phosphate is preferably between 30 and 45% for the purpose of simultaneously providing the mechanical strength and tissue ingrowth (col.4, II.32-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the porosity of the implant of Boyle to have less than 75% porosity as taught by Kim in order to allow for tissue ingrowth for anchoring the implant while maintaining mechanical strength to resist compression forces.

Re claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10, and 14, see Boyle figs. 8, 10, and 11.

Re claim 19, see Kim col.4, II.25-30.

Re claim 20, the device of both Boyle and Kim are intended for use in a defect of a vertebral body.

5. Claims 1-6, 10, 14-16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrison et al. 2005/0038517 in view of Kim et al. 5,645,596.

Re claim 1, Carrison discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a bone replacement material to be used by being packed into a bone defective part, wherein the bone replacement material is a rigid biocompatible material (par.45) and is

formed into a pellet wherein the pellet has a roughly polyhedral shape and is defined by a plurality of surfaces including a pair of opposite, non-parallel surfaces, one of the opposite, non-parallel surfaces being inclined at a predetermined angle with respect to the other of the opposite, non-parallel surfaces (fig.3). However, while Carrison discloses that the material may be porous (par.52), Carrison does not disclose that the bone replacement material is calcium phosphate and has a porosity equal to or less than 75%.

Kim teaches a vertebral prosthesis, in the same field of endeavor, wherein calcium phosphate is used for the purpose of its spontaneous adhesion to the associated vertebrae, and wherein the porosity of the calcium phosphate is preferably between 30 and 45% for the purpose of simultaneously providing the mechanical strength and tissue ingrowth (col.4, II.32-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use calcium phosphate with a porosity of less than 75% as taught by Kim for the vertebral implants of Boyle in order to allow for tissue ingrowth for anchoring the implant while maintaining mechanical strength to resist compression forces.

Re claims 2-4, 10 and 14, see Carrison fig.3.

Re claims 5 and 6, while Carrison does not specifically disclose that the implant is either a pentahedral or a triangular prism shape, these shapes are simply a matter of design choice and as it has been held that changes in shape are a matter of design choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious as they

were not disclosed as being critical to the practice of the invention (In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) MPEP 2144.04 IV B).

Re claims 15, 16, 20, and 21, see Carrison figs. 13-18. Note that while Carrison does not disclose that the implants are inserted into the hollow passage of a cylindrical member such that the inclined surface of a pellet faces the inclined surface of an adjacent pellet, because of their shape shown in fig.3, the pellets of Carrison are capable of such use.

Re claim 19, see Kim col.4, II.25-30.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrison et al. 2005/0038517 in view of Kim et al. 5,645,596 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shimp 2004/0052829. Carrison in view of Kim discloses the invention substantially as claimed and as discussed above. However, Carrison in view of Kim does not disclose the specific size of the pellets to be between 2 and 10 mm or the volume of each pellet to be in the range of 13 to 239 mm3. Shimp teaches calcium phosphate pellets (par.28), in the same field of endeavor, wherein the pellets can vary in size but are preferably up to 4mm, for the purpose of providing an injectable load bearing support at the repair site (par.10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to specify the claimed size ranges for the pellets of Carrison as this size is best suited for injecting bone replacement material into a bone defect in the spine as taught by Shimp. Also see Lambrecht et al. 2004/0024465 par.31 which teaches the volume of injectable pellets in the claimed range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEGAN WOLF whose telephone number is (571)270-

3071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3738

/Bruce E Snow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738